

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNIZED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States l'atest and Trademark Office Adress: COMMSSIONER FOR PATENTS FOR BOARD OF COMMERCE OF PATENTS Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,672	12/22/2003	Khasid M. Ali Khan	5150-83700	7805	
35690	7590 03/21/2006		EXAMINER		
MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C.			PEYTON, TAMMARA R		
700 LAVACA, SUITE 800 AUSTIN, TX 78701		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			2182	-	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/743,672	ALI KHAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tammara R. Peyton	2182				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	nuary 2006					
	action is non-final.	•				
	•					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	•				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	orodion roquiroment.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atem reprieduoit (i 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/743,672

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9-18, 20-28, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moran et al., (US 6,633,835).

As per claims 1-7, 9-18, 20-28, and 31, Moran teaches method of a focus buffer interface analyzer over a network, comprising: capturing data events from a nondeterministic data bus; transferring said captured data events to a region of a data event buffer as portions of said captured data events become available; accessing said data event buffer to process said captured data events without stopping said transferring. Moran specifically teaches using DMA to transfer selected packets from a capture buffer into a focus buffer. Moran also teach a method of detecting which a focus buffer is full, by having high priority flows and low priority flows wherein the high priority flows are stored in a high priority queue prior to processing and while low priority flows are stored in a low priority queue prior to processing. The amount of data in the high priority flows is monitored and buffers from the low priority queue are reallocated to the high priority queue if the amount of data in the high priority flows surpasses a predetermined threshold. Moran does not teach that the DMA process is

stopped which the low priority queue is reallocated to the high priority queue. (cols. 22, lines 27-col. 32, lines 1-10) However, Moran is silent in respect to the data event buffer is circular or linear, however, one of ordinary skill would readily recognize that a circular or a linear buffer is well known in the art, thereby making use of these types of well known buffers obvious to one of ordinary skill.

As per claims 8, 19, and 29, Moron does not expressly teach wherein processed data events are shown real time via a display. However, Moron does teach that real-time monitoring by network administrators. Therefore, one of ordinary skill would readily recognize that some sort of display means could be used during the monitoring process. (cols. 1 and 2)

As per claims 5, 16, and 26, Moran does not teach wherein the nondeterministic data bus conforms to the IEEE-488 GPIB standard. Nonetheless, however, one of ordinary skill would readily recognize that the IEEE-488 GPIB standard is well known in the art, thereby making use of this type of bus obvious to one of ordinary skill.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammara Peyton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4157. The examiner can normally be reached between 6:30 - 4:00 from Monday

Art Unit: 2182

to Thursday, (I am off every first Friday), and 6:30-3:00 every second Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone' number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Mailed responses to this action should be sent to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231.

Faxes for Official/formal (After Final) communications or for informal or draft communications (please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") sent to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

USTPO, Randolph Building, Customer Service Window

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

Page 4

Tammara Peyton

March 15, 2006